

UNITED STES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|--------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 08/8 9 7,217 | 07/14/97 | DEAN | | D | P-2057/723 |
| | | TM00/0100 | \neg | EXAMINER | |
| SCHWEGMAN LUNDBERG WOESSNER & KLUTH PA P O BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402 | | TM02/0130 | | BULL QU ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 2151 DATE MAILED | 2 |
| | | | | | 01/30/01 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 08/897,217

2151

DEAN

Examiner

Lewis Bullock, Jr.

Group Art Unit

| TH | E PERI | OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)] | | | | |
|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | a) 🗌 | expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | |
| | b) 🔀 | expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. | | | | |
| | date on determi | tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. | | | | |
| | Appell period | ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a). | | | | |
| Ap _l but | olicant is NC | s response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Jan 5, 2001</u> has been considered with the following effect, deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: | | | | |
| X | The pi | oposed amendment(s): | | | | |
| | X wi | Il be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief. | | | | |
| | ☐ wi | Il not be entered because: | | | | |
| | | they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below). | | | | |
| | | they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below). | | | | |
| | | they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. | | | | |
| | | they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | |
| | NO. | TE: | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | □ Ap | oplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | |
| | _ <i>i</i> | | | | | |
| | New! | proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. | | | | |
| X | The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive and the rejection will be maintained. The cited patents demonstrate that it is well known that applets can execute on web browsers and send HTTP requests. See Attachment. | | | | | |
| | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | | |
| X | For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): | | | | | |
| | Claim | s allowed: | | | | |
| | Claim | s objected to: | | | | |
| | | s rejected: 1-15 and 21 | | | | |
| | The p | roposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | Note | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). | | | | |
| | Othe | | | | | |
| | | ALVIN E. OBERLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 2700 | | | | |